

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/571,144	11/26/2008	Charles J. Groeller	P21017US2	3678	
VOLVO TEC	7590 04/20/20J HNOLOGY OF AMER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
7825 NATION	IAL SERVICE ROAD	NASRI, JAVAID H			
MAIL STOP, API/3-41 GREENSBORO, NC 27409			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2839			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
**			
10/571,144	GROELLER, CHARLES J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Javaid Nasri	2839		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF TI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 OFFA. 13(a). In now after SIX (6) MONITHS from the mating date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we have a supply to the state of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we have a supply to the state of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we have a supply to the state of the specified above, the maximum state of the specified above, the specified above, the maximum state of the specified above, the specified above, the maximum state of the specified above, the specified a	HIS COMMUNICATION. rent, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election re-	quirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b	☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	en received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	ified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

US	Pa	tent	an	j Tr	ade	mark	Offic
PT		.30	20	/R	nu.	OR.	180

Application/Control Number: 10/571,144 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Specie I Figures 1A-C and 2

Specie II Figures 3A-C

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: None

2 REQUIREMENT FOR LINITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of

the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,144

Art Unit: 2839

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

 A telephone call was made to attorney Martin Farrell on 4/15/11 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of

Art Unit: 2839

the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Contact

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 571 272 2095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on 571 272 2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Javaid Nasri/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839